

July 31, 2014

## VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Air and Radiation Division  
EPA Region 5  
77 W. Jackson Blvd. (AE-17J)  
Chicago, IL 60604  
Attn: Compliance Tracker

Robert H. Smith  
Office of Regional Counsel  
EPA Region 5  
77 West Jackson Blvd. (C-14J)  
Chicago, IL 60604

**Re: *United States v. INEOS USA LLC*, Case No. 3:12-cv-01404 (N.D. Ohio)—INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶ 64 of Consent Decree entered July 12, 2012**

Dear Sir or Madam:

Pursuant to Sec. VII., REPORTING REQUIREMENTS, of the above-referenced Consent Decree, INEOS hereby submits the second Compliance Status Report (CSR). Per ¶ 65, this report is due July 31, 2014, and covers the period from July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014.

- a. The number of personnel assigned to LDAR functions at the Facility, excluding personnel whose functions involve the non-monitoring aspects of repairing leaks, and the approximate percentage of time each person dedicated to performing his/her LDAR functions

LDAR Function(s)	Number of Personnel	Percentage
On-site monitoring, data input, monitoring device maintenance, leak repairs, other field duties	3 (contractors)	100 each
Off-site and periodic on-site supervision, auditing, monitoring	2 (contractors)	25 10
Off-site data input, auditing, reporting	1 (contractor)	10
On-site supervision, auditing, reporting, other field duties	2 (INEOS)	25 5

- b. An identification and description of any non-compliance with the requirements of Section V (Compliance Requirements for LDAR) of the Consent Decree

None.

- c. An identification of any problems encountered in complying with the requirements of Section V (Compliance Requirements for LDAR) of the Consent Decree

None, except as may be otherwise noted herein.

- d. The information required in ¶ 39 of Subsection V.G of the Consent Decree

The actions taken to comply with Subsection V.G, Equipment Replacement, Repacking, and Improvement Program (comprising ¶¶ 32-39) are described below. Each piece of equipment that was replaced, repacked, or improved is identified in Table 1.

Subparagraph 33.b. Installing New Valves. All new valves in LDAR service that were installed were documented by the manufacturers as Low-E Valves or fitted with Low-E Packing. This was true for entirely new valves added and the Existing Valves (as of the Effective Date) listed in Table 1 that were replaced for any reason.

Paragraph 34. Replacing/Repacking Existing Valves  $\geq$ 250 ppm with Low-E Valves or Packing.

b. Timing: If Process Unit Shutdown Not Required. The Existing Valves that were timely replaced or repacked because they had screening values at or above 250 ppm are identified in Table 1. All were replaced except for one control valve that was repacked with Low-E Packing instead.

c. Timing: If Process Unit Shutdown Required. The Existing Valves that required a process unit shutdown to replace or repack are also indicated in Table 1. These valves were all placed on Delay of Repair (DOR). Valves still on DOR as of June 30, 2014, are shown at the end of Table 1 with the anticipated action date of the plant maintenance turnaround (TAR) scheduled for Fall 2015. This date constitutes the currently anticipated schedule for future equipment replacement, repacking, or improvement under ¶ 39(ii).

d. Actions Required Pending Replacements or Repackings.

Except for the two valves identified below (Tags 8106 & 281025), INEOS opted to comply with ELP Subsection V.E, Repairs, even with respect to valves with Screening Levels below 500 ppm. The dates of first and final attempts at repair and repair verification monitoring (RVM) are shown on Table 1.

One valve was required to undergo a drill-and-tap repair attempt under ¶¶ 27 and 28, which was successful.

Two others could not be drilled and tapped due to major safety, mechanical, product quality, and/or environmental issues. Both of these valves (Tags 8106 & 281025) were replaced within 30 days after detection of the leaks.

Paragraph 36. Records of Low-E Valves and Low-E Packing. Manufacturer documentation demonstrating that installed Low-E valves or packing meet the Low-E definition were secured before installation and will be retained for the duration of the CD.

c. Replacing or Repacking Low-E Valves. On one occasion noted in Table 1, a Low-E valve installed pursuant to this program had a Screening Value at or above 500 ppm, so it was replaced again.

Paragraph 37. Connectors.

c. Installing New Connectors. Any new connectors that were installed were of the type judged least likely to leak for their service and operating conditions.

d. Trigger for Replacing or Improving Connectors. No connector replacements or improvement actions were triggered during this reporting period.

e. A description of any LDAR training required in Subsection V.I of the Consent Decree

The annual refresher training with respect to all LDAR Personnel required under ¶ 41 was conducted in August 2013. The training was based on the protocol developed in 2012 and was issued via the facility on-line viewing system, which records each INEOS employee's course completion. The embedded maintenance contractor staff was trained separately but in the same month, using the same materials and with the LDAR Coordinator present as a resource.

In addition to the on-line LDAR training, a live presentation was included in each of the facility's annual Safety Training Days held in September and October 2013, attendance at which is mandatory for all INEOS employees. The presentation emphasized the need to notify the LDAR team of maintenance work and equipment changes affecting LDAR components, and highlighted OELCD compliance and the Low-E valve replacement program.

New INEOS employees completed the on-line LDAR training during their new-hire orientation. The LDAR Coordinator also highlighted the program during the in-person environmental overview presentation made to all new hires. No new contractor LDAR Personnel were added during the reporting period.

f. Any deviations identified in the QA/QC performed under Subsection V.J of the Consent Decree, as well as any corrective actions taken under that Subsection

None.

g. A summary of LDAR audit results including specifically identifying all alleged deficiencies

The second LDAR audit of the facility required in ¶ 45 was completed March 26, 2014. The summary table of results from the audit report is provided as Attachment 1.

- h. The status of all actions under any CAP that was submitted pursuant to Subsection V.K during the reporting period, unless the CAP was submitted less than one month before the Compliance Status Report

No CAP was submitted during this reporting period. The CAP for the second LDAR audit is being submitted separately to U.S. EPA by July 31, 2014. The actions under the second LDAR audit CAP, namely supplemental technician Method 21 training and shadow monitoring, have already been completed and implemented.

- i. In each Compliance Status Report due after calendar year 2013, a certification that INEOS has conducted annual CERCLA/EPCRA training pursuant to Paragraph 57

INEOS certifies that the annual CERCLA/EPCRA training required under ¶ 57 was conducted in October 2013 and was based on the procedures that were updated in 2012 pursuant to ¶ 56. All INEOS personnel involved in reporting releases received the training via the facility on-line viewing system, which records each employee's course completion. The embedded maintenance contractor staff was trained separately in August 2013, in person using the same materials and documented by attendance sign-in sheets. Newly hired INEOS personnel and contractors during this reporting period received the training during their new-hire orientation.

- j. In each Compliance Status Report due after calendar year 2013, a certification that INEOS has conducted the training required pursuant to Paragraph 63

INEOS certifies that the training to prevent venting to the atmosphere by bypassing the Acrylonitrile absorber and/or the AOGI under ¶ 63 was conducted throughout 2013 for INEOS personnel involved in operating and/or monitoring the Acrylonitrile reactors and absorber and/or the AOGI. The training was conducted based on the procedures that were updated in 2012 pursuant to ¶ 62. These updated procedures were issued to personnel to review via the facility on-line viewing system, which records each employee's completion.

Training pursuant to ¶ 63 was further conducted in a classroom setting as part of regularly scheduled operator refresher training, which is documented by attendance sign-in sheets and in the employees' official training records. Subsequently, these personnel will undertake this training again as part of each employee's three-year cycle of refresher training. There were no newly hired personnel who needed to receive such training this reporting period.

- k. An identification of each bypass event to the atmosphere from the absorber of the Acrylonitrile Process Unit and/or AOGI that occurred during the reporting period for the Compliance Status Report including the date, time, and duration of the bypass; the quantity of pollutants released as a result of the bypass; the actions taken to minimize the duration of the bypass; the root cause of the bypass; and the corrective actions taken or to be taken to minimize the likelihood of the recurrence of the root cause.

This information is provided in the attached Table 2.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact Eugene Paik, LDAR Coordinator, at (419) 226-1636 or by email at [eugene.paik@ineos.com](mailto:eugene.paik@ineos.com).

Regards,



Marcelyn K. Boone  
Site Director

Attachments

cc: Robert H. Smith (via e-mail)  
Constantinos Loukeris (via e-mail)  
Raymond Cullen (via e-mail)  
Brian Dickens (via e-mail)  
James Entzminger (via e-mail)

Table 1  
to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶164 of Consent Decree  
submitted July 31, 2014

A. Existing Valves Replaced or Repacked During Reporting Period

		Monitoring Event		Subparagraph 34.d. Actions								
TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE READ	SV READING, ppm	1ST REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	FINAL REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	DATE REPLACED/ REPACKED	TAG NUMBER- NEW	COMMENTS
ACRYLO UNIT												
61015	3.00	05/22/13	445	05/22/13	05/22/13	3,376	05/24/13	05/24/13	63	12/05/13	62015	DOR
38436	3.00	05/28/13	385	05/28/13	05/28/13	1,360	06/05/13	06/05/13	2	07/09/13	61763	DOR
38006	0.50	05/28/13	1,042	05/30/13	05/30/13	538	06/10/13	06/10/13	23	07/09/13	61811	DOR
8305	6.00	08/05/13	1,577	08/07/13	08/07/13	510	08/14/13	08/14/13	12	12/06/13	62011	Control Valve; DOR
16945	3.00	08/05/13	99,999	08/07/13	08/07/13	13,302	08/14/13	08/14/13	230	11/15/13	62009	DOR
15225	3.00	08/06/13	556	08/06/13	08/06/13	525	08/14/13	08/14/13	310	11/07/13	63502	DOR; Drilled & tapped per Par. 27
8876	3.00	08/06/13	976	08/06/13	08/06/13	645	08/14/13	08/14/13	124	08/31/13	63651	
23925	0.75	08/07/13	507	08/07/13	08/07/13	704	08/14/13	08/14/13	1	08/31/13	63653	
38107	0.50	08/07/13	515	08/12/13	08/12/13	501	08/14/13	08/14/13	26	08/14/13	61807	
23561	2.00	08/07/13	30,941	08/07/13	08/07/13	9,008	08/14/13	08/14/13	65	08/31/13	63652	
8739	2.00	08/08/13	1,160	08/08/13	08/08/13	1,125				08/20/13	63587	TOS before Final Repair Attempt due
51438	0.25	08/14/13	719	08/14/13	08/14/13	1,438	08/22/13	08/22/13	3	08/28/13	61808	
10239	6.00	08/20/13	895	08/20/13	08/20/13	1,423	08/22/13	08/22/13	4	08/31/13	63650	
21888	0.75	08/21/13	656	08/21/13	08/21/13	481	08/22/13	08/22/13	6	08/31/13	63654	
34164	4.00	08/21/13	323	08/21/13	08/21/13	125				08/31/13	63655	
34168	8.00	08/21/13	482	08/21/13	08/21/13	247				08/31/13	63656	
8106	0.75	11/05/13	12,138	11/05/13	11/05/13	39,153				12/04/13	63658	DOR; No drill & tap due to major issue
39469	0.75	11/13/13	914	11/13/13	11/13/13	5,036	11/25/13	11/25/13	5	12/06/13	62012	
35975	0.75	11/13/13	442	11/13/13	11/13/13	18				12/05/13	62013	
38392	3.00	11/18/13	3,704	11/18/13	11/18/13	1,132	11/25/13	11/25/13	5	12/05/13	62014	
23940	4.00	11/18/13	697	11/18/13	11/18/13	340				12/05/13	63662	TOS before Final Repair Attempt due
23721	0.75	11/19/13	2,220	11/24/13	11/19/13	4,027	11/25/13	11/25/13	2	12/06/13	63664	

Table 1  
to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶164 of Consent Decree  
submitted July 31, 2014

A. Existing Valves Replaced or Repacked During Reporting Period

		Monitoring Event		Subparagraph 34.d. Actions								
TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE READ	SV READING, ppm	1ST REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	FINAL REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	DATE REPLACED/ REPACKED	TAG NUMBER- NEW	COMMENTS
ACRYLO UNIT (continued)												
34129	4.00	11/19/13	2,420	11/24/13	11/19/13	1,610	11/25/13	11/25/13	2	12/06/13	63660	
34085	1.50	11/19/13	835	11/24/13	11/19/13	596	11/25/13	11/25/13	2	03/31/14	63663	DOR
38413	3.00	01/14/14	AVO	01/14/14	01/14/14	AVO				02/13/14	62022	Control valve
33118	0.75	02/19/14	14,493	02/19/14	02/19/14	56				04/14/14	62902	DOR
10418	1.50	02/19/14	580	02/19/14	02/19/14	439	02/24/14	02/24/14	10	03/20/14	62606	
51027	0.50	02/20/14	790	02/20/14	02/20/14	222				03/20/14	62607	
39605	0.75	02/24/14	297	02/24/14	02/24/14	192				03/20/14	62092	
34116	0.75	02/24/14	504	02/24/14	02/24/14	272	02/24/14	02/24/14	132	03/20/14	62605	
34107	4.00	02/24/14	832	02/24/14	02/24/14	590	02/24/14	02/24/14	205	03/20/14	62085	
40853	0.50	04/15/14	852	04/15/14	04/15/14	202				05/15/14	62547	
55954	0.75	05/06/14	2,000	05/06/14	05/06/14	1,165	05/06/14	05/06/14	5	06/03/14	55849	
10164	1.00	05/06/14	444	05/06/14	05/06/14	226				06/03/14	62542	
40255	1.50	05/06/14	506	05/06/14	05/06/14	196				06/03/14	62544	
34388	1.00	05/07/14	1,153	05/07/14	05/07/14	1				06/03/14	62306	
39467	3.00	05/07/14	420	05/07/14	05/07/14	247				06/03/14	62305	
63653	0.75	05/13/14	581	05/13/14	05/13/14	86				06/04/14	62307	LOW-E installed 8/13/13
33487	1.00	05/20/14	578	05/20/14	05/20/14	234				06/03/14	62541	Bonnet leak
CAPU												
27542	1.00	07/01/13	1,090	07/01/13	07/01/13	972				07/29/13	61871	TOS before Final Repair Attempt due
280706	0.75	07/02/13	1,327	07/02/13	07/02/13	2,503				07/03/13	61886	Replaced before Final Repair Attempt due
9665	2.00	07/08/13	500	07/09/13	07/09/13	527	07/10/13	07/10/13	94	07/31/13	61030	
20870	1.00	07/08/13	383	07/08/13	07/08/13	320	07/10/13	07/10/13	120	08/07/13	61868	Control valve; repacked not replaced

Table 1  
to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶164 of Consent Decree  
submitted July 31, 2014

A. Existing Valves Replaced or Repacked During Reporting Period

		Monitoring Event		Subparagraph 34.d. Actions								
TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE READ	SV READING, ppm	1ST REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	FINAL REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	DATE REPLACED/ REPACKED	TAG NUMBER- NEW	COMMENTS
CAPU (continued)												
19895	1.50	07/08/13	804	07/08/13	07/08/13	710	07/10/13	07/10/13	12	12/20/13	61263	DOR
19903	0.75	07/08/13	337	07/08/13	07/08/13	275	07/10/13	07/10/13	20	12/20/13	61294	DOR
20589	1.50	07/08/13	264	07/08/13	07/08/13	19				12/20/13	61020	DOR
280140	1.00	07/09/13	4,101	07/10/13	07/10/13	5				07/31/13	61031	
19976	0.75	08/19/13	789	08/19/13	08/19/13	1,137	08/27/13	08/27/13	31	08/31/13	61887	
61730	0.75	10/03/13	1,987	10/03/13	10/03/13	2,320	10/03/13	10/03/13	229	10/21/13	61023	Bonnet leak
280375	0.25	10/07/13	4,143	10/07/13	10/07/13	1,464				10/22/13	61288	Replaced before Final Repair Attempt due
30428	0.75	10/07/13	2,958	10/07/13	10/07/13	243				10/21/13	61279	
9739	3.00	10/08/13	526	10/09/13	10/09/13	16				11/14/13	61889	TOS
9719	6.00	10/08/13	340	10/08/13	10/08/13	228				10/24/13	61039	
16208	0.75	01/03/14	8,613	01/03/14	01/03/14	241				05/12/14	64125	DOR
15950	0.75	01/03/14	2,713	01/03/14	01/03/14	199				05/12/14	64130	DOR
281025	1.00	01/09/14	4,288	01/09/14	01/09/14	999				02/04/14	64602	DOR; No drill & tap due to major issue
19796	1.00	02/11/14	640	02/11/14	02/11/14	432	02/24/14	02/24/14	5	05/12/14	64128	DOR
280990	1.50	02/12/14	3,250	02/13/14	02/13/14	1,700				03/20/14	64607	Control Valve; DOR
280270	2.00	02/12/14	2,076	02/13/14	02/13/14	511	02/24/14	02/24/14	4	04/07/14	64105	TOS
280404	0.50	02/12/14	315	02/13/14	02/13/14	1,600	02/24/14	02/24/14	88	03/13/14	64605	
27511	0.75	04/01/14	389	04/01/14	04/01/14	9				04/30/14	NA	Eliminated; no new Tag #
27510	2.00	04/01/14	520	04/01/14	04/01/14	211				04/30/14	NA	Eliminated; no new Tag #
280785	0.75	04/01/14	474	04/01/14	04/01/14	87				05/12/14	64121	TOS
20883	0.75	04/01/14	2,100	04/02/14	04/02/14	30				05/12/14	64127	TOS
280756	0.75	04/01/14	7,604	04/01/14	04/01/14	1,900	04/10/14	04/10/14	3,500	05/12/14	64113	Bonnet leak; DOR



Table 1  
to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶164 of Consent Decree  
submitted July 31, 2014

A. Existing Valves Replaced or Repacked During Reporting Period

		Monitoring Event		Subparagraph 34.d. Actions								
TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE READ	SV READING, ppm	1ST REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	FINAL REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	DATE REPLACED/ REPACKED	TAG NUMBER- NEW	COMMENTS
CAPU (continued)												
280043	0.50	04/01/14	346	04/01/14	04/01/14	504	04/10/14	04/10/14	34	05/12/14	64111	TOS
280794	0.75	04/02/14	478	04/02/14	04/02/14	239				05/12/14	64118	TOS
19908	1.50	04/02/14	397	04/02/14	04/02/14	767	04/10/14	04/10/14	241	05/12/14	64117	TOS
19924	0.75	04/02/14	940	04/02/14	04/02/14	885	04/02/14	04/02/14	241	05/12/14	64124	TOS
19371	2.00	04/02/14	4,944	04/02/14	04/02/14	2,002	04/10/14	04/10/14	878	05/12/14	64126	Bonnet leak; DOR
280025	1.50	04/07/14	1,342	04/10/14	04/10/14	106				05/12/14	64112	Control valve; TOS
BAREX UNIT												
43310	0.50	08/26/13	436	08/26/13	08/26/13	99				09/03/13	43308	
32468	0.75	09/16/13	581	09/16/13	09/16/13	717	09/24/13	09/24/13	180	10/16/13	43307	DOR
28319	1.00	11/11/13	882	11/11/13	11/11/13	190				12/30/13	42412	TOS
7087	4.00	02/12/14	320	02/13/14	02/13/14	210				02/26/14	43940	
28232	4.00	02/12/14	365	02/13/14	02/13/14	6,200	02/19/14	02/19/14	5	02/26/14	42435	
43903	0.75	02/12/14	495							02/18/14	43945	Replaced as 1st Repair Attempt
43931	4.00	02/12/14	400	02/17/14	02/17/14	46				02/27/14	43942	
32484	3.00	02/12/14	629	02/13/14	02/13/14	109				02/27/14	42437	
28268	0.25	02/17/14	1,047	02/17/14	02/17/14	12				02/26/14	NA	Eliminated; no new Tag #

SV - Screening Value

RVM - Repair Verification Monitoring

DOR – placed on Delay of Repair/Replace because process unit shutdown required to replace/repack

TOS – Taken Out of Service prior to 30-day replace/repack due-date

AVO – leak detected, verified through Audio, Visual, or Olfactory sensing

Table 1  
to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶164 of Consent Decree  
submitted July 31, 2014

B. Schedule for Future Existing Valve Replacements or Repacking

		Monitoring Event		Subparagraph 34.d. Actions						
TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE READ	SV READING, ppm	1ST REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	FINAL REPAIR ATTEMPT DATE	RVM DATE	RVM READING, ppm	ANTICIPATED ACTION DATE
ACRYLO UNIT										
34749	1.50	02/20/14	510	02/20/14	02/20/14	100				Fall 2015 Scheduled Maintenance TAR
34039	4.00	02/24/14	573	02/24/14	02/24/14	302	02/24/14	02/24/14	235	
34017	4.00	02/24/14	517	02/24/14	02/24/14	3200	02/24/14	02/24/14	5	
24005	0.75	02/24/14	2051	02/24/14	02/24/14	75.15				
33583	2.00	03/18/14	566	03/18/14	03/18/14	207				
61019	3.00	05/07/14	33,701	05/07/14	05/07/14	245				
33207	0.75	05/07/14	4061	05/07/14	05/07/14	1200	05/13/14	05/13/14	7	

SV - Screening Value

RVM - Repair Verification Monitoring

C. Existing Valves Replaced for Any Reason Other Than Leaking

TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE REPLACED	TAG NUMBER- NEW		TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE REPLACED	TAG NUMBER- NEW
ACRYLO UNIT								
61018	0.75	08/13/13	61806		41212	1.50	10/22/13	55931
40480	1.50	08/20/13	55928		41224	1.50	10/22/13	55932
33208	4.00	10/21/13	63657		40563	1.50	11/03/13	55836
41210	1.50	10/22/13	55929		41041	1.00	11/03/13	55964
41210	1.50	10/22/13	55930		41449	1.00	11/03/13	55965

Table 1  
to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶164 of Consent Decree  
submitted July 31, 2014

C. Existing Valves Replaced for Any Reason Other Than Leaking

TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE REPLACED	TAG NUMBER- NEW		TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE REPLACED	TAG NUMBER- NEW
ACRYLO UNIT (continued)								
41039	1.00	11/07/13	55800		10370	3.00	03/19/14	62083
40441	1.00	11/07/13	55958		33094	2.00	04/10/14	62905
41041	1.00	11/07/13	55960		34920	3.00	04/16/14	62098
40711	6.00	11/18/13	55933		36941	2.00	04/24/14	62084
8877	3.00	12/20/13	62010		16758	2.00	04/24/14	62900
36116	0.75	12/30/13	62016		16754	3.00	04/24/14	62901
41290	1.50	02/26/14	55837		36441	2.00	05/05/14	62603
40458	0.75	02/26/14	55838		33209	0.75	05/07/14	62302
41291	1.50	03/03/14	55812		22856	6.00	05/12/14	62068
41294	1.50	03/03/14	55813		33611	2.00	05/20/14	62546
41285	1.50	03/03/14	55814		22183	2.00	05/22/14	62545
41270	1.00	03/03/14	55815		36187	6.00	06/02/14	62069
41291	1.50	03/03/14	55819		10274	0.75	06/18/14	62308
33197	0.75	03/03/14	62031		16927	0.75	06/18/14	62310
41270	0.75	03/03/14	62038		51019	2.00	06/18/14	62311
33019	0.75	03/18/14	62608		51020	0.75	06/18/14	62312
10371	4.00	03/19/14	62082					
CAPU								
280542	1.50	07/30/13	61000		19806	2.00	12/30/13	61898
280543	1.50	07/30/13	61004		19821	2.00	12/30/13	61899
40262	1.00	09/09/13	55811		280898	0.75	01/09/14	61890
20541	0.75	10/15/13	61228		40060	0.50	01/14/14	55820
40387	0.75	11/26/13	1356		40062	0.50	01/14/14	55821
40387	0.75	11/26/13	1366		281080	0.75	03/03/14	64604

Table 1  
to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶164 of Consent Decree  
submitted July 31, 2014

C. Existing Valves Replaced for Any Reason Other Than Leaking

TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE REPLACED	TAG NUMBER- NEW		TAG NUMBER- OLD	SIZE, INCHES	DATE REPLACED	TAG NUMBER- NEW
CAPU (continued)								
40395	0.75	11/26/13	1367		27507	2.00	03/19/14	64132
280779	1.50	12/30/13	61891		281114	0.75	04/01/14	64608
280774	1.50	12/30/13	61892		281032	1.00	05/12/14	64114
280902	0.75	12/30/13	61893		9218	1.00	05/12/14	64115
280744	2.00	12/30/13	61894		280769	2.00	05/12/14	64119
280989	1.50	12/30/13	61895		19924	0.75	05/12/14	64120
9376	2.00	12/30/13	61897		19799	1.00	05/12/14	64122
BAREX UNIT								
7070	2.00	08/26/13	43311		29327	4.00	10/10/13	41952
28578	0.50	08/26/13	43310		7759	1.00	12/30/13	42414
29306	4.00	10/10/13	41951					

**Table 2**  
**to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶ 64 of Consent Decree**  
**submitted July 31, 2014**

Absorber or AOGI Bypass Events, July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014 (Item k)

<b>BYPASS EVENT 1</b>	After A Reactor was shut down, B Reactor auto-vented during its shutdown, bypassing the AOGI. There was no release above an RQ because the reactor was shut down minutes later and little organics were left in the system.			
	Date, time, duration	July 12, 2013, 20:23 3.58 hours		
	Quantity of pollutants released	Acetonitrile Acrolein	0.5 lbs 0.1 lbs	Acrylonitrile Hydrogen Cyanide 1.5 lbs 0.5 lbs
	Actions taken to minimize duration	Because of the time required to safely purge the unit, the bypass duration was as minimal as possible. However, the release of most of the organics was minimized to just the first 45 minutes of the bypass by fully shutting down B Reactor immediately and completing the “clean-up” portion of the unit shutdown procedure, leaving little organics to be released.		
	Root cause	When propylene feed was almost fully removed from the B Reactor, ammonia flow fluctuated sufficiently to reach the reactor disengagement point in the logic for the auto-vent.		
	Corrective actions taken to minimize recurrence	The reactor shutdown procedure had been updated after the last similar event to include information on the auto-engagement points on shutdown. The procedure was again reviewed with the operators involved, re-emphasizing monitoring the auto-vent and auto-engagement points during the start-up of the first reactor and the shutdown of the last reactor to try to avoid reaching the points.		
<b>BYPASS EVENT 2</b>	The AOGI automatically shut down during B Reactor start-up due to a too-rich off-gas flow. There was no release above an RQ because only one reactor was operating for only a brief time and was shut down immediately after AOGI shutdown.			
	Date, time, duration	December 13, 2013, 12:23 3.31 hours		
	Quantity of pollutants released	Acetonitrile Acrolein Acrylonitrile	51.8 lbs 0.1 lbs 22.2 lbs	
	Actions taken to minimize duration	The bypass duration was the minimum allowed by the time required to safely purge the unit and to clean out organics generated. The duration of organics release was kept much shorter than the bypass duration by shutting down the reactor immediately and completing the clean-up procedure properly.		
	Root cause	The next-door refinery, source of the propylene feed, had reported an issue with propylene purity. Due to freeze-up, INEOS's propylene analyzer was not available to verify, but the purity issue is believed to have caused or contributed to the too-rich off-gas flow to the AOGI, which resulted in an unstable flame and AOGI shutdown on loss of flame detection.		
	Corrective actions taken to minimize recurrence	No actions are available to minimize such recurrence. Because purity issues are known to recur, the AOGI shut-down logic is designed to protect plant personnel in such cases. The procedures to minimize the bypass duration and amount of releases through immediate reactor shutdown and proper clean-up were correctly followed.		

**Table 2**  
**to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶ 64 of Consent Decree**  
**submitted July 31, 2014**

**Absorber or AOGI Bypass Events, July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014 (Item k)**

<b>BYPASS EVENT 3</b>	Both A and B Reactors shut down inadvertently when an instrument air line was damaged from falling ice. Upon restart of B Reactor airflow with the Absorber venting to atmosphere per procedure, acrolein and HCN releases above their RQs occurred due to some HCN-service equipment still connected to the system and because the full clean-out procedure could not be performed on B Reactor prior to its start-up.	
	Date, time, duration	January 31, 2014, 03:23 10.23 hours
	Quantity of pollutants released	Acrolein 2.2 lbs Acrylonitrile 1.6 lbs Hydrogen Cyanide 17.2 lbs
	Actions taken to minimize duration	After identifying that scrubber water containing emissions from some equipment in HCN service was still being fed and reaching the Absorber, the water was closed off, and the releases from the Absorber decreased thus minimizing the duration.
	Root cause	- The procedure for clean-up after a Spontaneous Reactor Shutdown could not be fully followed. The procedure states to close off the make-up water to the Absorber and water from the vent scrubber that controls HCN-service equipment as well as other steps to prevent organics being added back to the system. - Not being able to conduct the reverse ammonia burn on B Reactor due to the force majeure nature of the shutdown potentially contributed to the release quantity.
	Corrective actions taken to minimize recurrence	- Discussed with operators the impact of organics remaining in the system during restart; factors involved and methods to mitigate high losses during bypasses; and sources of organics that have contributed to past incidents. - Shutdown and clean-up procedures will be reviewed and updated as necessary to include sources of organics due to carryover to the Absorber that have been identified from past events. - The start-up procedure will be reviewed and updated as necessary to include the monitoring of Absorber losses as the start-up proceeds. - A checklist will be developed for shutdowns or start-ups to ensure all organic sources have been isolated. - The cumulative release quantities from the Absorber vent during bypasses will be included on the DCS display, and operators will be trained on the purpose for the quantities and their required response.

**Table 2**  
**to INEOS's Second Compliance Status Report under ¶ 64 of Consent Decree**  
**submitted July 31, 2014**

**Absorber or AOGI Bypass Events, July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2014 (Item k)**

BYPASS EVENT 4	The Absorber vent valve opened to atmosphere spontaneously due to a failed solenoid. There was no release above an RQ.			
	Date, time, duration	April 16, 2014, 02:07 1.40 hours		
	Quantity of pollutants released (Note)	Acetonitrile 1.9 lbs Non-methane hydrocarbon 4926 lbs	Carbon monoxide 3998 lbs Nitrogen oxides 140 lbs	
	Actions taken to minimize duration	The valve was manually closed as quickly as possible.		
	Root cause	One of the two redundant solenoids on the air actuator of this valve had a coil burn-out, which caused the valve to revert to its fail-safe position of open to atmosphere.		
	Corrective actions taken to minimize recurrence	- The valve stop has been temporarily removed. The valve can still be hand-jacked using a hydraulic jack, but the stop must be installed in order to remove the valve from the line at a future date. - A plan for making the repair will be developed.		
BYPASS EVENT 5	Both A and B Reactors shut down due to failure of the positioner on a control valve in the steam system and resulting steam header changes. There was no release above an RQ upon reactor restart.			
	Date, time, duration	May 11, 2014, 05:35 5.13 hours		
	Quantity of pollutants released	Acetonitrile 95.5 lbs Acrolein 0.4 lbs Hydrogen Cyanide 7.8 lbs		
	Actions taken to minimize duration	The bypass duration was as minimal as possible per the procedure for a reactor airflow restart that requires the Absorber venting to atmosphere.		
	Root cause	Even though the unit clean-up procedure was followed correctly, after a Spontaneous Reactor Shutdown, it is normal and unavoidable that some residual level of organics may still remain in the system to be released from the Absorber during restart.		
	Corrective actions taken to minimize recurrence	No actions are available to minimize the recurrence of inadvertent reactor shutdowns that result from such unanticipated malfunctions.		

Note: Releases of Non-methane hydrocarbon, Carbon monoxide, and Nitrogen oxides: (1) occur continuously during normal operation as well as during bypasses; (2) are permitted and included in periodic permit reports; and (3) do not have RQ levels so are not included in CERCLA/EPCRA release reports.

## **ATTACHMENT 1**

### Summary of LDAR Audit Results

Table 1-1 from  
INEOS 2nd LDAR Audit Report  
Audit completed  
March 26, 2014



**Table 1-1**  
**Audit Requirements and Results**

CD CITATION PARAGRAPH	AUDIT REQUIREMENT	AUDIT RESULTS ( <i>Compliance Issues Listed in Italics</i> )
46(i)	Review compliance with all applicable LDAR regulations and the CD's Enhanced LDAR Program (ELP) including LDAR requirements related to valves, connectors, pumps, agitators and Open Ended Lines (OELs) in heavy liquid service.	<b>No Compliance Findings:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A field verification of randomly selected Difficult to Monitor (DTM) valves indicated that all were properly classified as DTM.</li> <li>• Component tagging was found to be in good order.</li> <li>• LDAR database rules were reviewed for accuracy with no issues noted.</li> <li>• A records review indicated compliance with the CD's ELP low-emission (Low-E) valve replacement requirements.</li> </ul>
43a [46(ii)]	Verify appropriate monitoring frequencies.	<b>No Compliance Findings:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A database review of monitoring records from 1st Quarter 2012 through 4th Quarter 2013 indicated that LDAR valves, connectors, pumps, agitators and Open Ended Line Closure Devices (OELCDs) were being monitored at the correct frequencies.</li> </ul>
43b [46(ii)]	Verify that proper documentation and sign offs have been recorded for all equipment placed on the Delay of Repair (DOR) list.	<b>No Compliance Findings:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• INEOS had one (1) component on DOR at the time of the audit. The auditors verified that this component was correctly tagged with a weatherproof Leaker Tag. Proper DOR documentation and sign offs were also on file.</li> </ul>
43c [46(ii)]	Ensure that repairs have been performed in the required periods.	<b>No Compliance Findings:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Database records from 1st Quarter 2012 through 4th Quarter 2013 were reviewed for compliance with first and final repair deadlines. All leaking components were either repaired within 15 days or put on DOR.</li> </ul>
43d [46(ii)]	Review monitoring data and equipment counts for feasibility (e.g., number of pieces of equipment monitored per day) and unusual trends.	<b>No Compliance Findings:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Component monitoring counts were calculated and monitoring data was reviewed for abnormalities. Monitoring pace was judged to be reasonable. No instances of faster than normal (&gt;300 components/day) were noted during the data review period.</li> <li>• No monitoring abnormalities were detected.</li> </ul>

CD CITATION PARAGRAPH	AUDIT REQUIREMENT	AUDIT RESULTS ( <i>Compliance Issues Listed in Italics</i> )
43e [46(ii)]	Verify that proper calibration records and monitoring instrument maintenance information are maintained.	<p><b><u>No Compliance Findings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Calibration records for April 2013 were reviewed and instrument calibration by two (2) monitoring technicians was observed. No compliance issues were noted.</li> <li>• Calibration gas certification records were reviewed for compliance with expiration dates and accuracy requirements. No issues were noted.</li> </ul>
43f [46(ii)]	Verify that other LDAR program records are maintained as required.	<p><b><u>No Compliance Findings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compliance with DTM /Unsafe to Monitor (UTM) justification was reviewed. No compliance issues were noted.</li> <li>• The facility's Management of Change (MOC) program was reviewed. No systemic issues were noted.</li> <li>• The facility's LDAR Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures were reviewed. No issues were noted.</li> </ul>
43g	Observe in the field each LDAR monitoring technician who is conducting leak detection monitoring to ensure that monitoring is being conducted as required.	<p><b><u>One Compliance Finding:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>More thorough monitoring of pumps and some flanges should be performed.</i></li> <li>• Monitoring pace and coverage of valves and non-flange connectors were judged to be appropriate.</li> </ul>
46(iii)	Review whether any pieces of equipment that are required to be in the LDAR program are not included.	<p><b><u>No Compliance Findings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A database review, field inspections, and a review of marked up Process and Instrumentation Drawings (P&amp;IDs) did not indicate any components or process lines that had been overlooked from the LDAR inventory.</li> </ul>
47 [46(iv)]	Perform Comparative Monitoring of Different Component Types in Covered Units and Calculate Leak Percentages and the Comparative Monitoring Leak Ratio for each.	<p><b><u>One Compliance Finding:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The comparative monitoring resulted in four (4) instances of Comparative Monitoring Leak Ratios <math>\geq 3</math> and the Comparative Monitoring Leak Percentage <math>\geq 0.5\%</math>.</i></li> </ul>